

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,569	05/02/2001	Ho-Geun Lee	A34236	5633	
20306	7590 03/23/2006		EXAM	INER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP			LEE, CH	LEE, CHI HO A	
300 S. WACK 32ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	CHICAGO, IL 60606			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/847,569	LEE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Andrew Lee	2616		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413\		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e		

Application/Control Number: 09/847,569

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, it is unclear how the mobile establishes PPP session with itself. The mobile appears to the establishing a PPP session with the base stations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dynarski et al U.S. Patent Number 6,628,671.

Re Claims 1, 4, 5, , fig. 1 teaches mobile 14 establishing at least two PPP sessions for redundant transmission with base stations 1 and 2 (See col. 8, lines 4-9); Network access server uses the IMSI number of mobile to maintain a table that maps (classifying the datagrams....PPP session) the IMSI numbers to the PPP sessions (See

Art Unit: 2616

col. 7, lines 49-60), wherein the Network access server receives datagrams from the mobile on the physical layer and processes the received datagrams in the PPP session; MCP handover is performed whereby the a particular PPP is established and selected wherein once the a handoff PPP is selected, the datagrams within the session are further process in the network layer (See col. 15, lines 40 +: each layer is associated with management plane).

Re Claims 2, 6, refer to Claim 1, wherein de-capsulation/encapsulation is performed in the layers to process the datagram.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dynarski et al U.S. Patent Number 6,628,671 in view of Fujisaki et al U.S. Patent Number 6,466,574

Re Claims 3 and 7, '671 fails to explicitly teach the selecting one of the processed datagrams as recited in the claim. However, Fujisaki et al teaches in fig.4 wherein the receiving node receives redundant copies of the packets from redundant path whereby the node selects the proper sequence of the packets (comparing the datagram and deleting the out of sequence/error packets). One skilled in the art would

Application/Control Number: 09/847,569

Art Unit: 2616

have been motivated to compare datagrams and delete error packets for reliability.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled to combine the teachings.

Page 4

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- U.S. Patent Number 6370118 teaches simultaneous set up of PPP;
- U.S. Patent Number 6590879 teaches CDMA handoff.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AI 3/18/06 PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINET